

CHAPTER 1: Introduction	
QUESTION NO.	SUMMARY OF REPS
QUESTION / PARAGRAPH	
Paragraph 1.1 – 1.15 Support: 2 Object: 0 Comment: 2	<p>ARGUMENTS IN SUPPORT:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Joint plan/joined up plans between the Councils is vital and welcomed <p>OBJECTIONS:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <p>COMMENTS:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">
CHAPTER 2: Joint Working and Duty to Co-operate	
QUESTION NO.	SUMMARY OF REPS
QUESTION / PARAGRAPH	
Paragraph: 2.1 Support: 4 Object: 0 Comment: 1	<p>ARGUMENTS IN SUPPORT:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Fenland District Council welcomes the joint working between Cambridge City Council and South Cambridgeshire District Council East Cambridgeshire District Council welcomes the joint working between Cambridge City Council and South Cambridgeshire District Council General support for joint working <p>OBJECTIONS:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <p>COMMENTS:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Concern about lack of integration with Uttlesford DC as a neighbouring District The interests of one Council should not override the interests of another
Paragraph: 2.2 Support: 1 Object: 2 Comment: 0	<p>ARGUMENTS IN SUPPORT:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> One basic support <p>OBJECTIONS:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Grosvenor: Duty to cooperate isn't just agreeing to "work together", the NPPF is explicit in the need to deliver homes and jobs for the whole area and for this to be planned positively Cambridge has not been viewed in a holistic enough way in the City and SCDC plans. <p>COMMENTS:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">
Paragraph 2.3 Support: 0 Object: 0 Comment: 2	<p>ARGUMENTS IN SUPPORT:</p> <p>OBJECTIONS:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <p>COMMENTS:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Plans need to say the same thing where possible
Paragraph 2.4 Support: 0 Object: 1	<p>ARGUMENTS IN SUPPORT:</p> <p>OBJECTIONS:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> The plans should treat Cambridge as a single entity <p>COMMENTS:</p>

<p>Comment: 1</p>	
<p>Paragraph 2.5</p> <p>Support: 0 Object: 1 Comment: 1</p>	<p>ARGUMENTS IN SUPPORT:</p> <p>OBJECTIONS:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Sellwood Planning (Hinxton Land LTD): Cambridge City and South Cambs have discharged their legal duty to cooperate in plan making. Whilst joint structures and joint working arrangements do exist, the two Councils are clearly not approaching the planning of their areas in a manner, which ignores administrative boundaries. <p>COMMENTS:</p>
<p>Paragraph 2.6</p> <p>Support: 1 Object: 1 Comment: 1</p>	<p>ARGUMENTS IN SUPPORT:</p> <p>OBJECTIONS:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Should be a joint plan. <p>COMMENTS:</p>
<p>Paragraph 2.7</p> <p>Support: 0 Object: 0 Comment: 3</p>	<p>ARGUMENTS IN SUPPORT:</p> <p>OBJECTIONS:</p> <p>COMMENTS:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Outcome of traffic modelling and potential A14 improvements are key aspects for both City and SCDC plans. • Not having the transport modelling makes it very difficult to comment fully on sites.
<p>Paragraph 2.9</p> <p>Support: 1 Object: 0 Comment: 0</p>	<p>ARGUMENTS IN SUPPORT:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Welcome the decision to co-ordinate timetables more fully. <p>OBJECTIONS:</p> <p>COMMENTS:</p>