

**RD/MC/160**

**Evidence regarding land south of the  
Cambridge Biomedical Campus**

*Part 6 - Ecological Appraisal*





Cambridgeshire County Council

**Phase 3 Cambridge Bio-Medical Campus**

**Ecological Appraisal**

October 2016

**FPCR Environment and Design Ltd**

Registered Office: Lockington Hall, Lockington, Derby DE74 2RH

Company No. 07128076. [T] 01509 672772 [F] 01509 674565 [E] [mail@fpcr.co.uk](mailto:mail@fpcr.co.uk) [W] [www.fpcr.co.uk](http://www.fpcr.co.uk)

This report is the property of FPCR Environment and Design Ltd and is issued on the condition it is not reproduced, retained or disclosed to any unauthorised person, either wholly or in part without the written consent of FPCR Environment and Design Ltd. Ordnance Survey material is used with permission of The Controller of HMSO, Crown copyright 100018896.

Rev	Issue Status	Prepared / Date	Approved / Date
-	Draft 1	RG 13.07.16	RG 13.07.16
	Final	RG 24.08.16	RG 24.08.16
RevA		RG 09.09.16	RG 09.09.16
RevB		TM/RG 20.10.16	

**CONTENTS**

1.0	EXECUTIVE SUMMARY .....	1
2.0	INTRODUCTION.....	2
3.0	METHODOLOGY .....	2
4.0	RESULTS .....	10
5.0	DISCUSSION AND MITIGATION RECOMMENDATIONS .....	18

**TABLES**

Table 1: Classification and Survey Requirements for Bats in Trees

Table 2: HSI score and suitability for supporting great crested newts

Table 3: Figure 3: Statutory Designated Sites

Table 4: Statutory Designated Sites

Table 5: Breeding bird pairs recorded 2014-16 within grid square TL4654 and on-site by Mr J. Meed

Table 6: Results of Hedgerow Assessment

**APPENDICES**

Appendix A: Consultation Data Received (Summary)

Appendix B: Phase 1 Habitat Survey Species Lists

**FIGURES**

Figure 1: Site Location and Designated Sites Within 1km

Figure 2: Extended Phase 1 Habitat Plan

## **1.0 EXECUTIVE SUMMARY**

- 1.1 An extended Phase 1 habitat survey and desk study were undertaken for a c.8.9ha site located to the south of Addenbrookes Hospital.
- 1.2 The desk study confirmed there are two nationally valuable statutory designated sites and six non-statutory designated sites located within the local area. A number of local protected and notable species records were also returned from the local area, including bats, otter, water vole and bird species typical of urban edge and farmland habitats.
- 1.3 The majority of the application site comprised arable habitat of generally low ecological value, though supports a number of farmland bird species through the year. The site was partially bounded by a damp ditch and established hedgerows and off-site woodland blocks that provided species and structural diversity. These features are hence considered to be of Local ecological value and will be retained in the proposed scheme and buffered within a continuous broad corridor of shrub, tree and grassland planting, providing enhanced foraging and commuting opportunities for a range of local fauna at the site level including foraging and commuting bats, and tree/shrub nesting birds.
- 1.4 Precautionary mitigation measures are provided to ensure site preparation and construction works minimise the risk of adverse impacts to nesting birds during the breeding season. Further recommendations are provided to ensure that works proceed in line with best practice to minimise the risk of an adverse impact to local watercourses, including those associated with local non-statutory sites.
- 1.5 A minor adverse impact is predicted on local farmland birds of species that utilise open arable habitats, due to the loss of this habitat from the site. Given the size and location of the site and the continued availability of similar habitat within the wider landscape residual effects due to displacement are not considered to be significant.
- 1.6 No other impacts on protected species are considered likely to occur as a result of the proposed scheme.
- 1.7 Recommendations are provided for habitat enhancement at the site level, with suitable species for inclusion within the planting scheme provided. The scheme will additionally provide two permanent ponds, a balancing facility and areas of more formal planting to provide a net biodiversity gain across the site.
- 1.8 The scheme has been designed to provide a strong ecological buffer to the neighbouring offsite Nine Wells Local Nature Reserve, and will simultaneously both deter pedestrian access from the site and provide alternative opportunities for recreation and amenity within the site boundary, including a network of pathways through landscaped areas, and features of interest including the ponds and more formal planted areas.
- 1.9 Given the generous green infrastructure proposed on site, careful scheme design and adherence to best practice construction methods, no impact is anticipated to the integrity of the neighbouring Nine Wells Local Nature Reserve or any other designated site.

## 2.0 INTRODUCTION

### Background

- 2.1 This report has been produced by FPCR Environment and Design Ltd. for Cambridgeshire County Council, and provides details of an extended Phase 1 habitat survey undertaken at a site to the south of Cambridge (central grid reference TL 464 545). See Figure 1 for site location.
- 2.2 The site is of approximate size 8.9ha and is located to the south of Dame Mary Archer Way and Addenbrookes Hospital. At the time of survey it was managed as a single arable field partially bordered by hedgerows and a ditch.
- 2.3 The wider landscape to the north encompasses Addenbrookes Hospital, including recent development, beyond which lies residential development, schools and colleges. To the west of the site a railway track runs north-south, beyond which lies the residential area of Trumpington. The landscape to the south and east is a mix of agricultural land, golf course and small woodland compartments, with residential development associated with Cambridge Road to the south-west, and Great Shelford to the south. Nine Wells Local Nature Reserve (LNR) lies closely adjacent to the site to the southwest. A public footpath borders the south-eastern perimeter of the site, and a sealed cycle path borders the opposite boundary to the north.
- 2.4 The objective of this Ecological Appraisal is to describe the baseline ecology of the site and immediate surrounding area, and determine whether the site has potential to support protected species. This investigation included a desk study and extended Phase 1 habitat survey.

### Proposed Development

- 2.5 Proposals are for further extension of the existing Bio-Medical Campus. Buildings will comprise a mix of laboratories and office space.

## 3.0 METHODOLOGY

### Desk Study

- 3.1 To support the field survey and further compile existing baseline information relevant to the site, ecological information was sought from third parties, including records of protected or notable species and sites designated for nature conservation interest. Organisations contacted included the Cambridgeshire and Peterborough Environmental Records Centre (CPERC).
- 3.2 Online sources of ecological data were also sought including:
- Multi Agency Geographic Information for the Countryside (Magic) website;
  - Google Maps and aerial imagery
- 3.3 The search area of interest varied depending upon the likely significance and zone of influence of the data requested, as follows:
- Up to a 10km radius around the site was searched for sites of international importance with a statutory designation of Special Area of Conservation (SAC), Special Protection Area (SPA) and RAMSAR sites;

- Up to a 2km radius around the site for sites of national importance with a statutory designation of Site of Special Scientific Importance (SSSI) or National Nature Reserve (NNR);
- Up to a 1km radius around the site for sites of local importance with statutory designation of Local Nature Reserve, or non-statutory designation of Site for Importance for Nature Conservation (SINC) or the equivalent Local Wildlife Site (LWS), and;
- 1km search area was also covered for records of protected species and Priority Species (i.e. including former UK and Local Biodiversity Action Plan species) from the last 20 years.

3.4 Recent bird data was also provided for the 1km grid square TL4654 via South Cambridgeshire District Council, as submitted to the Council by Mr J. Meed.

### **Habitat Survey**

3.5 The site was visited on 26<sup>th</sup> May 2016 and an Extended Phase 1 habitat survey conducted. Extended Phase 1 habitat survey is a survey technique recommended by Natural England that largely follows JNCC 2010<sup>1</sup>, with the scale of recording of habitat parcels adjusted to provide more detail for smaller sites. The survey comprised a walkover of the site, mapping the principal habitat types present and identifying the dominant or characteristic plant species present within these.

3.6 Any habitats suitable for, or features with the potential to support, protected or notable species were also assessed and recorded.

### **Hedgerow Assessment**

3.7 The value of the hedgerows present on the site was also assessed during the field survey using the standard Hedgerow Evaluation and Grading System (HEGS)<sup>2</sup> methodology to assess their conservation value. The following attributes were recorded:

- Canopy species present;
- Structure (height, width, shape and percentage gaps);
- Associated features (banks, ditches, grass verges, mature trees);
- Connectivity to other hedgerows, woods or ponds;
- Associated ground flora of interest.

3.8 Hedgerows can then be scored and graded accordingly:

- 1 . High to Very High conservation value;
- 2 . Moderately High to High conservation value;
- 3 . Moderate conservation value;
- 4 . Low conservation value.

3.9 The hedgerows were also assessed against the wildlife and landscape criteria of statutory instrument No: 1160 - The Hedgerow Regulations 1997. A series of 30m sections of hedgerows

<sup>1</sup> JNCC 2010. *Handbook for Phase 1 Habitat Survey - a technique for environmental audit*. ISBN 0 86139 636 7.

<sup>2</sup> Clements, D. and Toft, R. 1992. *Hedgerow Evaluation and Grading System (HEGS) - A Methodology for the ecological survey, evaluation and grading of hedgerows*.

were surveyed, recorded features including woody and floral species and associated features as detailed in the statutory document.

3.10 These were then classified against the criteria as laid down in the regulations, which specify in detail how the criteria are met. A brief summary is given below:

- Contains certain categories of species of birds, animals or plants listed in the Wildlife and Countryside Act 1981 or Red Data Book (JNCC Publications),
- Includes:
  - (a) at least 7 woody species, on average, in a 30m length;
  - (b) at least 6 woody species, on average, in a 30m length and has at least 3 associated features;
  - (c) at least 6 woody species, on average, in a 30m length, including a black poplar tree, or large-leaved lime, or small-leaved lime or wild service tree; or
  - (d) at least 5 woody species, on average, in a 30m length and has at least 4 associated features.

*NB: The number of woody species is reduced by one in northern counties. The list of 56 woody species comprises mainly shrubs and trees. It generally excludes climbers (such as clematis, honeysuckle and bramble) but includes wild roses.*

- Runs alongside a bridleway, footpath, road used as a public path or byway open to all traffic and includes at least 4 woody species, on average, in a 30m length and has at least 2 of the associated features listed at (a) - (e) below.
  - (a) a bank or wall supporting the hedgerow;
  - (b) less than 10% gaps;
  - (c) on average, at least one tree per 50m;
  - (d) at least 3 species from a list of 57 woodland plants;
  - (e) a ditch;
  - (f) a number of connections with other hedgerows, ponds or woodland;
  - (g) a parallel hedge within 15 metres.

## **Fauna**

3.11 During the site survey direct observations, signs of, or suitable habitat for, species protected by the Conservation of Habitats and Species Regulations 2010 (as amended) and/or the Wildlife and Countryside Act 1981 (as amended), and the Protection of Badgers Act 1992 was also recorded. Consideration was also given to the existence and use of the site by other notable fauna such as Schedule 1 bird species, breeding birds, species of Principle Importance under Section 41 of the Natural Environment and Rural Communities Act NERC Act (2006), Local Biodiversity Action Plan (LBAP) or Red Data Book (RDB) species.

## **Birds**

3.12 Incidental records of bird species encountered during the Phase 1 habitat survey were recorded.

**Bats**

- 3.13 Tree assessments were undertaken from ground level, with the aid of a torch and binoculars (where appropriate). These surveys were undertaken on 26<sup>th</sup> May 2016 by a licenced ecologist from FPCR (Natural England licence number 22940-CLS). During the survey potential roosting features for bats such as the following were sought (based on p16, British Standard 8596:2015<sup>3</sup>):
- Natural holes (e.g. knot holes) arising from naturally shed branches or branches previously pruned back to a branch collar.
  - Man-made holes (e.g. cavities that have developed from flush cuts or cavities created by branches tearing out from parent stems).
  - Woodpecker holes.
  - Cracks/splits in stems or branches (horizontal and vertical).
  - Partially detached, loose or bark plates.
  - Cankers (caused by localised bark death) in which cavities have developed.
  - Other hollows or cavities, including butt rots.
  - Compression of forks with included bark, forming potential cavities.
  - Crossing stems or branches with suitable roosting space between.
  - Ivy stems with diameters in excess of 50mm with suitable roosting space behind (or where roosting space can be seen where a mat of thinner stems has left a gap between the mat and the trunk).
  - Bat or bird boxes.
  - Other suitable places of rest or shelter.
- 3.14 Certain factors such as orientation of the feature, its height from the ground, the direct surroundings and its location in respect to other features may enhance or reduce the potential value.
- 3.15 Trees were classified into general bat roost potential groups based upon the presence of these features. Table 1 is based upon Table 4.1 and Chapter 6 in the BCT Good Practice Guidelines<sup>4</sup> and broadly classifies the roost potential categories of potential as accurately as possible.
- 3.16 Although the British Standard 8596:2015 document groups trees with moderate and high potential, these have been separated below (as per Table 4.1 in the BCT Guidelines) to allow more specific survey criteria to be applied.

**Table 1: Classification and Survey Requirements for Bats in Trees**

<b>Classification of Tree</b>	<b>Description of Category and Associated Features (based on Potential Roosting Features listed above)</b>	<b>Likely Further Survey work / Actions</b>
Confirmed Roost	Evidence of roosting bats in the form of live / dead bats, droppings, urine staining, mammalian fur oil staining, etc.	A Natural England derogation licence application will be required if the tree or roost site is to be affected by the development or proposed arboricultural works. This will require a combination of aerial assessment by roped access bat workers (where possible, health and safety constraints allowing) and nocturnal survey during appropriate periods (e.g. nocturnal survey - May to August) to inform on

<sup>3</sup> BS 8596:2015 *Surveying for bats in trees and woodland. Guide.* October 2015.

<sup>4</sup> Bat Conservation Trust 2016. *Bat Surveys for Professional Ecologists: Good Practice Guidelines.*

Classification of Tree	Description of Category and Associated Features (based on Potential Roosting Features listed above)	Likely Further Survey work / Actions
		the licence. Works to tree undertaken under supervision in accordance with the approved good practice method statement provided within the licence. However, where confirmed roost site(s) are not affected by works, work under a precautionary good practice method statement may be possible.
High Potential	A tree with one or more Potential Roosting Features that are obviously suitable for larger numbers of bats on a more regular basis and potentially for longer periods of time due to their size, shelter protection, conditions (height above ground level, light levels, etc) and surrounding habitat. Examples include (but are not limited to); woodpecker holes, larger cavities, hollow trunks, hazard beams, etc.	Where the tree(s) will likely be affected by development a combination of aerial assessment by roped access bat workers (if appropriate) and/or nocturnal survey during appropriate period (May to August). Following additional assessments, tree may be upgraded or downgraded based on findings. If roost sites are confirmed and the tree or roost is to be affected by proposals a licence from Natural England will be required prior to development works. After completion of survey work (and the presence of a bat roost is discounted), a precautionary working method statement may still be appropriate.
Moderate Potential	A tree with Potential Roosting Features which could support one or more potential roost sites due to their size, shelter protection, conditions (height above ground level, light levels, etc) and surrounding habitat but unlikely to support a roost of high conservation status (i.e. larger roost, irrespective of wider conservation status). Examples include (but are not limited to); woodpecker holes, rot cavities, branch socket cavities, etc.	Where the tree(s) will likely be affected by development a combination of aerial assessment by roped access bat workers and / or nocturnal survey during appropriate period (May to August). Following additional assessments, tree may be upgraded or downgraded based on findings. After completion of survey work (and the presence of a bat roost is discounted), a precautionary working method statement may still be appropriate. If a roost site/s is confirmed a licence from Natural England will be required prior to development works.
Low Potential	A tree of sufficient size and age to contain Potential Roosting Features but with none seen from ground or features seen only very limited potential. Examples include (but are not limited to); loose/lifted bark, shallow splits exposed to elements or upward facing holes.	No further survey required but good practice removal operations may be required in certain circumstances.
Negligible/No potential	Negligible/no habitat features likely to be used by roosting bats	None.

The Conservation of Habitats & Species Regulations 2010 (as amended) affords protection to breeding sites and resting places of bats. The EU Commission's Guidance document on the strict protection of animal species of Community interest under the Habitats Directive 92/43/EEC, February 2007 states that these are places where there is a reasonably high probability that the species concerned will return.

**Badger**

- 3.17 The standard methodology as recommended by Harris, Creswell and Jefferies<sup>5</sup> was followed to complete a thorough search for evidence which would indicate the presence of badgers both on the site and locally (where accessible). Evidence of badger occupation and activity sought included:
- Setts: including earth mounds and evidence of bedding and or runways between identified setts;
  - Latrines: often located close to setts; at territory boundaries or adjacent to favoured feeding areas;
  - Prints and established track or runways;
  - Hairs caught on rough wood or fencing;
- 3.18 Other evidence: including snuffle holes, feeding and playing areas and scratching posts. The identification of these latter signs on their own does not necessarily provide conclusive evidence of the presence of badgers. A number of such signs need to be seen in conjunction before badgers can be confirmed as being present.
- 3.19 The status and the level of activity of setts identified were noted as follows:
- Main sett: usually continuously used with significant signs of activity, including a large number of holes and conspicuous spoil mounds;
  - Annexe sett: usually found close to a main sett and connected to it by well used paths. Such setts may not be continuously occupied;
  - Subsidiary sett: lesser-used setts usually comprising a few holes and without associated well-used paths. Such setts are not continuously occupied;
  - Outlier sett: one or two holes without obvious paths, with a very sporadic use.
- 3.20 With the level of activity described as:
- Active: clear of debris, trampled spoil mounds and obviously active e.g. presence of prints, dislodged guard hairs;
  - Partially active: some associated debris/moss/plants in the entrance. Could be used with minimal amount of excavation usually with signs in the vicinity of the sett e.g: badger paths etc.;
  - Disused: partially or completely blocked/collapsed.

**Great Crested Newt**

- 3.21 A habitat suitability index (HSI) assessment was undertaken on the damp ditch along the northern site boundary. The HSI index provides a measure of the likely suitability that a water-body has for supporting newts. Whilst not a direct indication of whether or not a water body will support GCN, generally those with a higher score are more likely to support GCN than those with a lower score, and there is a positive correlation between HSI scores and water bodies in which GCN are recorded.

<sup>5</sup> Harris S., Creswell P., and Jefferies D. 1989. *Surveying Badger*, Mammal Society

3.22 Ten separate attributes are assessed for each pond to calculate the suitability of the ponds to support this species:

Geographic location	Presence of water-fowl
Water body area	Presence of fish
Water body drying	Number of linked ponds
Water quality	Terrestrial habitat
Shade	Macrophytic coverage

3.23 A score is assigned according to the most appropriate criteria level set within each attribute and a total score calculated of between 0 and 1. Water body suitability is then determined according to the scale set out in Table 2. Using the index score the predicted presence of GCN being found within a water body can be made, based on the proportion of ponds typically occupied at that suitability level.

**Table 2: HSI score and suitability for supporting great crested newts**

HSI score	Pond Suitability
<0.5	Poor
0.5 - 0.59	Below average
0.6 . 0.69	Average
0.7 . 0.79	Good
>0.8	Excellent

**Reptiles**

3.24 An assessment of the suitability of the habitats present to support common reptile species was completed at the time of each habitat survey. The assessment of suitability involved a review of habitats and habitat structure for suitable shelter for reptiles such as areas of scrub and woodpiles, grassland with well-developed and varied structure, areas suitable for basking, large tussocks etc. This assessment was based on the methodology detailed in the Herpetofauna Workers Manual<sup>6</sup> and the Froglife Advice Sheet 10<sup>7</sup>.

**Water Vole**

3.25 The ditch and associated habitats within and adjacent to the site was assessed for evidence of, and suitability to support water voles during the extended phase 1 habitat survey. Survey methods for water vole broadly followed standard methodology of Strachan et al.<sup>8</sup> as described in the Water Vole Handbook and comprised inspection from the bank.

3.26 Assessment of habitat suitability was made, including:

- Degree of bank side and emergent vegetation;
- Bank shape and angle;
- Size of the water course, noting any flow.

<sup>6</sup> Gent, T. and Gibson, S. 1998. *Herpetofauna Workers' Manual*. JNCC, Peterborough.

<sup>7</sup> Froglife 1999. Froglife Advice Sheet 10 . Reptile Survey.

<sup>8</sup> Strachan, R., Moorhouse, T. and Gelling, M. 2011. *Water Vole Conservation Handbook* 3<sup>rd</sup> edition. Wildlife Conservation Research Unit, Oxford.

3.27 The surveyed area was also examined for physical signs indicating the presence of water voles including:

- Latrine sites . distinct piles of water vole droppings found near nest sites, at the ranges of territorial boundaries and where the animals enter and leave the water;
- Feeding stations . areas with distinct neat piles of chewed lengths of vegetation along pathways or haul out platforms along the water's edge;
- Burrows . burrow entrances are typically wider than high with a diameter between 4 and 8cm. Generally these burrow entrances are located at the water's edge;
- Lawns . short grazed areas at the entrances to burrows;
- Prints . identifiable prints in soft margins of the watercourse;
- Runways . low tunnels that are pushed through the vegetation and often leading to burrows or feeding stations.

### **Limitations**

3.28 The species data collated for the desk study is derived from records submitted by members of the public and from specialist volunteer group surveys. It does not represent a definitive list of species that occur in the local area, and the absence of records does not necessarily imply absence of such species.

3.29 The extended Phase 1 habitat survey was conducted at an optimal time of year for vegetation survey, and was therefore not limited by seasonality.

## 4.0 RESULTS

### Desk Study

- 4.1 Responses were received from the consultees, CPERC. A summary of the relevant information is provided below. Original data provided by CPERC is available by request.

### Statutory Designated Sites

- 4.2 There are no internationally important statutory designated sites located within 10km of the site.
- 4.3 The site lies within 2km of two SSSIs: Cherry Hinton Pit approximately 1.5km to the northeast, and Gog Magog Golf Course c.1.4km to the east. Further details are provided in Table 3 below, and site locations are shown on Figure 1.

**Table 3: Statutory Designated Sites**

Site Name and Ref	Area (ha)	Primary Reason for Designation / Description	Proximity to Site (at closest point)
Cherry Hinton Pit SSSI Ref. 1002799 Grid Ref. TL483557	12.78	Primarily notified populations of great pignut <i>Bunium bulbocastanum</i> , moon carrot <i>Seseli libanoti</i> , grape hyacinth <i>Muscari neglectum</i> (all British Red Data Book species and nationally uncommon), and perennial flax <i>Linum perenne</i> ssp. <i>anglicum</i> (nationally uncommon) growing along road verges and within the quarry areas. In addition, areas of herb-rich chalk grassland are present, dominated by upright brome <i>Bromus erectus</i> and supporting typical chalkland species such as wild thyme <i>Thymus praecox</i> , yellow-wort <i>Blackstonia perfoliata</i> and kidney vetch <i>Anthyllis vulneraria</i> . Hedgerows, scrub and woodland provide additional habitats of general wildlife value.	1.5km northeast
Gog Magog Golf Course SSSI Ref. 1002996 Grid Ref. TL488541	88.1	Supports species-rich calcareous chalk grassland type communities. The roughs and semi-roughs of the golf course support grassland communities characterised by the presence of grasses such as upright brome <i>Zerna erecta</i> , red fescue <i>Festuca rubra</i> and false oat-grass <i>Arrhenatherum elatius</i> . Many herbs are present including harebell <i>Campanula rotundifolia</i> , lady's bedstraw <i>Galium verum</i> and salad burnet <i>Sanguisorba minor</i> . Of additional note is the occurrence of the nationally rare moon carrot and the locally rare perennial flax. Such sites also hold a good invertebrate fauna.	1.4km east

### Non-Statutory Designated Sites

- 4.4 There are six non-statutory designated sites located within the search area, comprising the Nine wells LNR, one County Wildlife Site, and four City Wildlife Sites. Summary details of non-statutory designated sites are provided in Table 4 and the locations are shown on Figure 1.

Table 4: Non-Statutory Designated Sites

Site Name	Area (ha)	Grid Reference	Description	Proximity to Site
Nine Wells LNR	1.18	TL461541	Contains several chalk springs, which form the source of the Hobson Conduit. Accessible via public and permissive paths.	40m
Netherhall Farm Meadow County Wildlife Site (CoWS)	0.51	TL473550	Contains more than 0.05ha of CG3 <i>Bromus erectus</i> (upright brome) calcareous grassland community. Supports frequent numbers of at least eight neutral grassland indicator species.	800m
Hedgerow West of Babraham Road City Wildlife Site (CiWS)	0.4	TL466547	Hedgerow at least 100m in length and 2m in width at its widest point with four or more woody species.	5m
Hobson's Brook Mid CiWS	0.3	TL453551	Chalk stream together with adjacent semi-natural habitat that has not been grossly modified through canalisation and/or poor water quality.	490m
Hobson's Brook South CiWS	0.24	TL454544	Chalk stream together with adjacent semi-natural habitat that has not been grossly modified through canalisation and/or poor water quality.	220m
Red Cross Lane Drain CiWS	0.16	TL465547	Supports five or more neutral grassland indicator species in frequent numbers.	5m

- 4.5 Nine Wells LNR is located within c.40m of the application site to the southwest. The LNR comprises a small woodland area surrounded by agricultural land and is accessible via a small number of public and permissive paths. It encompasses four main springheads linked by stream channels which issue from the base of a chalk hill, and which further downstream are channelled via the Hobson Conduit, created to deliver clean spring water to Cambridge city centre.
- 4.6 The LNR woodland includes many beech trees which were originally planted for firewood but have resulted in a detrimental effect on the watercourses due to the build-up of the acidic leaves, which is resulting in heavy silting and a change in oxygen levels. This is being addressed via regular management. Ash *Fraxinus excelsior* and blackthorn *Prunus spinosa* are also common, and the perimeter hedgerow includes hawthorn *Crataegus monogyna*, spindle *Euonymus europaeus* and field maple *Acer campestre*. Ground flora species include sweet violet *Viola odorata*, bluebell *Hyacinthoides non-scripta*, cowslip *Primula veris* and deadly nightshade *Atropa belladonna*. The woodland is used by a variety of bird species including yellowhammer *Emberiza citrinella*, sparrowhawk *Accipiter nisus*, bullfinch *Pyrrhula pyrrhula* and redwing *Turdus iliacus*.

### Species records

- 4.7 Species records provided by CPERC were filtered by their distance from the development boundary (within 1km) and by date (within the last 20 years). Appendix A provides a summary of the closest record for each notable species.

- 4.8 Local bat records comprised single records for each of brown long-eared bat *Plecotus auritus*, and barbastelle *Barbastella barbastellus*, both from 2010 from locations near Netherhall Farm, Cambridge c.0.95km from the site. Two common pipistrelle *Pipistrellus pipistrellus* records and three soprano pipistrelle *P. pygmaeus* records were returned, the closest of each were from Trumpington Dismantled Railway c.0.86km to the northwest. Multiple Daubenton's bat *Myotis daubentonii*, Natterer's bat *Myotis nattereri* and unidentified *Pipistrellus* sp. records have been returned from the local area.
- 4.9 A single badger *Meles meles* record was returned from within the search area, dated 2008. A single brown hare *Lepus europaeus* was returned from Great Shelford, approximately 1.1km to the south, and a single hedgehog *Erinaceus europaeus* from a recreational ground c.0.7km to the northeast. Three otter records were returned, all from Hobsons Brook, two from approximately 0.7km from the site, and one within c.0.3km. Five water vole *Arvicola amphibious* records were additionally provided, again all from Hobson's Brook, the closest being located c.0.3km from the site to the southwest.
- 4.10 CPERC holds a small number of common frog *Rana temporaria* records from the surrounding area, and two great crested newt *Triturus cristatus* records, the closest records for each species lie approximately 1km from the application site. There were no reptile records returned from within 1km of the site, though there are common lizard *Zootoca vivipara* and grass snake *Natrix natrix* records from just beyond this radius (c.1.2km and 1.1km respectively).
- 4.11 Several notable bird records were returned from the search area, including a number of species typical of urban edge and farmland habitats from within the close proximity of the application site, or the site itself, including: corn bunting *Emberiza calandra*, dunnoek *Prunella modularis*, lapwing *Vanellus vanellus*, linnet *Linaria cannabina*, quail *Coturnix coturnix*, reed bunting *Emberiza schoeniclus*, skylark *Alauda arvensis*, starling *Sturnus vulgaris* and yellowhammer *Emberiza citrinella*. CPERC hold several bird records from the nearby Nine Wells LNR, including: bullfinch, hobby *Falco subbuteo*, kingfisher *Alcedo atthis*, redwing, song thrush *Turdus philomelos*, and yellow wagtail *Motacilla flava*.
- 4.12 Details of the notable farmland bird indicator species recorded during breeding surveys undertaken by Mr J. Meed between 2014 and 2016 within 1km grid square TL4654 are provided in Table 5. This grid square includes all areas of the application site, the Nine Wells LNR and adjacent fields.
- 4.13 In addition to the species listed in Table 5, a further seven BoCC Green listed (low conservation concern) farmland bird indicator species were recorded present during the above surveys, comprising: goldfinch *Carduelis carduelis*, greenfinch *Carduelis chloris*, green woodpecker *Picus viridis*, jackdaw *Corvus monedula*, swallow *Hirundo rustica*, whitethroat *Sylvia communis* and woodpigeon *Columba palumbus*. Kestrel *Falco tinnunculus*, lapwing *Vanellus vanellus* and rook *Corvus frugilegus* were noted to nest nearby.

Table 5: Breeding bird pairs recorded 2014-2016 within grid square TL4654 and on-site by Mr J. Meed

Species	Legal / Conservation status <sup>9</sup>	Estimated Breeding Pairs (Grid Square TL4654)			Recorded on site in 2016	Recent Status in Cambridgeshire <sup>10</sup>
		2014	2015	2016		
Bullfinch <i>Pyrrhula pyrrhula</i>	Amber List S.41 NERC	1	1	1	-	Common but declined resident
Corn Bunting <i>Emberiza calandra</i>	Red List S.41 NERC	2-3	3	7	Successful fledging noted	Fairly common but much declined local resident
Cuckoo <i>Cuculus canorus</i>	Red List S.41 NERC	0	0	1	Nesting confirmed	Uncommon declined migratory breeder
Dunnock <i>Prunella modularis</i>	Amber List S.41 NERC	6	8	14	-	Widespread and abundant resident
Grey Partridge <i>Perdix perdix</i>	Red List S.41 NERC	10	13	15	Held a wintering population of up to 36 birds	Scarce resident
Linnet <i>Carduelis cannabina</i>	Red List S.41 NERC	8	15	17	Several pairs noted	Very common but declined resident
Mistle Thrush <i>Turdus viscivorus</i>	Red List	1	2	2	-	Common and widespread resident
Reed Bunting <i>Emberiza schoeniclus</i>	Amber List S.41 NERC	1	1	4	-	Common but declined resident
Skylark <i>Alauda arvensis</i>	Red List S.41 NERC	21	22	33+	Four breeding pairs	Common but much declined resident
Song Thrush <i>Turdus philomelos</i>	Red List S.41 NERC	2	2	2	-	Common but declined resident
Starling <i>Sturnus vulgaris</i>	Red List S.41 NERC	1	2	2	-	Very common but declined resident
Stock Dove <i>Columba oenas</i>	Amber List	1	1	1	-	Common resident
Yellowhammer <i>Emberiza citrinella</i>	Red List S.41 NERC	7	11	13	At least four breeding pairs	Common but declined resident
Yellow Wagtail <i>Motacilla flava</i>	Red List S.41 NERC	2	1	1	-	Fairly common but much declined migratory breeder

<sup>9</sup> Birds of Conservation Concern (BoCC) 4: the population status of birds in the United Kingdom Red, Amber and Green list. Natural Environment and Rural Communities (NERC) Act, Section 41 (S41) as species which are of principal importance for the conservation of biodiversity in England

<sup>10</sup> Cambridgeshire Bird Report 2013, published by the Cambridgeshire Bird Club 2014.

## Extended Phase 1 Habitat Survey

### Habitats / Flora

- 4.14 The habitats described below correspond to those mapped on Figure 2. Plant species lists for the habitats are provided in Appendix B. Photographs of the habitats taken on 26<sup>th</sup> May 2016 are provided throughout the text.

#### Overview

- 4.15 The site comprised a single rectangular arable field, planted with a legume crop at the time of survey. Native species hedgerows bounded the field to the southwest (H1) and east (H2), and a steeply banked drainage ditch bordered the northern boundary. A public footpath, parallel hedgerow and tree groups lie off site but adjacent to hedgerow H2.

#### Hedgerows

- 4.16 Hedgerow H1 located to the southwest of the site was a c.140m long and 3.5m tall and comprised predominately of hawthorn *Crataegus monogyna* interspersed with occasional field maple *Acer campestre*, dogwood *Cornus sanguinea*, ash *Fraxinus excelsior*, blackthorn *Prunus spinosa*, dog rose *Rosa canina*, wayfaring tree *Viburnum lantana*, and bramble *Rubus fruticosus* agg.
- 4.17 The adjacent field margin (Plate 1) was uncut at the time of survey, and was of approximate width 5m. This supported abundant cockŕ foot *Dactyls glomerata* and locally abundant cow parsley *Anthriscus sylvestris*, with occasional grasses including smooth meadow-grass *Poa pratensis*, meadow foxtail *Alopecurus pratensis* and false brome *Brachypodium sylvaticum*, and fobs including cleavers *Galium aperine*, hogweed *Heracleum sphondylium*, meadow buttercup *Ranunculus acris*, common nettle *Urtica dioica*, and bush vetch *Vicia sepium*. Given the width and moderate species diversity of this field margin, and the apparent lack of herbicide spraying, this feature appears to meet the criteria to be considered a habitat of principal importance under the NERC Act 2006 (arable field margin category).
- 4.18 Hedgerow H1 extended to within c.15m of the southern end of hedgerow H2. H2 was an outgrown hedgerow developing into a tree line of typical height 8-15m and approximate length 580m. This comprised abundant hawthorn with occasional sycamore *Acer pseudoplatanus*, field maple, dogwood, ash, wild privet *Ligustrum vulgare*, blackthorn, dog rose, wayfaring tree, and bramble. An off-site hedgerow ran parallel to H2 to the south, with a public footpath between the two. Two small woodland stands adjoined the off-site hedgerow on its southern side.
- 4.19 The field margin adjacent to H2 was less than 2m width, with abundant cow parsley and false brome along its length (Plate 2). This field margin did not meet the criteria for consideration as a habitat of principal importance under the NERC Act (2006) as field edge habitats were narrow and relatively species-poor.
- 4.20 Both hedgerows were comprised of mixed native species each had a reasonably wide and dense structure. Under the HEGS assessment hedgerow (H1) had moderately high to high ecological value (HEGs grade 2, Table 6), and hedgerow H2 had high to very high value (HEGs grade 1-). Both hedgerows met the criteria of habitat of principal importance under the NERC Act 2006, and hedgerow H2 was confirmed to be of importance under the wildlife and landscape criteria of the Hedgerows Regulations 1997.
- 4.21 No threatened arable species were recorded present during the survey.



**Plate 1: Hedgerow H1 and field margin, looking southeast.**



**Plate 2: Hedgerow H2 and field margin, looking northeast.**

Table 6: Results of Hedgerow Assessment

Hedge	Woody Species present	HEGS Grade	Ave. Woody Species (sampled per 100m)	Associated Features	Adjacent to PRoW	Important Under Habitat Regs	Contains >80% Native Species
H1	Ac, Cm, Cs, Fe, Ps, Rc, VI	2	5	Grass verge	Y	N	Y
H2	Ac, Ap, Cm, Cs, Fe, Lv, Pi, Rc, Sn, VI.	1-	8	NA	Y	Y	Y

Key: Ac *Acer campestre* field maple, Ap *Acer pseudoplatanus* sycamore, Cm *Crataegus monogyna* hawthorn, Cs *Cornus sanguinea* dogwood, Fe *Fraxinus excelsior* ash, Lv *Ligustrum vulgare* privet, Pi *Prunus insititia* damson, Ps *Prunus spinosa* blackthorn, Rc *Rosa canina* dog rose, Sn *Sambucus nigra* elder, VI *Viburnum lantana* wayfaring tree.

Ditch and adjacent grassland

- 4.22 A damp ditch demarked the northern site boundary. This ditch had a water level of <3cm, a muddy substrate and no flow at the time of survey, and supported a dense layer of duckweed *Lemnaceae* sp. An adjacent sealed pathway ran parallel to the ditch along the length of the site, with an intervening semi-improved grassland strip of c.2m width. A similar grassland strip bordered the southern edge of the path. The margins were shorter adjacent to the path, indicating regular mowing.



Plate 3: Ditch, sealed pathway and field margin, looking southwest.

- 4.23 Species characteristic of the sward included abundant meadow foxtail *Alopecurus pratensis*, with frequent cocksfoot *Dactylis glomerata*, cow parsley *Anthriscus sylvestris*, ribwort plantain *Plantago lanceolata*, and dandelion *Taraxacum officinale* agg., and occasional / rare species including yarrow *Achillea millefolium*, daisy *Bellis perennis*, creeping thistle *Cirsium arvense*, dove's-foot crane's-bill *Geranium molle*, hogweed *Heracleum sphondylium*, Yorkshire fog *Holcus*

*lanatus*, common mallow *Malva sylvestris*, scented mayweed *Matricaria recutita* and bristly oxtongue *Picris echioides*.

## Fauna

- 4.24 Perimeter hedgerows provided suitable nesting opportunities for a range of common urban edge and rural bird species. The arable land that formed the majority of the site was sown with a legume crop at the time of survey, with some suitability for use by ground nesting birds, and which would provide a seasonal foraging resource. Incidental bird records encountered during the extended Phase 1 habitat survey included chaffinch *Fringilla coelebs*, dunnock *Prunella modularis*, carrion crow *Corvus corone*, and jackdaw *C. monedula*.
- 4.25 None of the trees located within or bordering the site have potential to support roosting bats. Whilst the hedgerows and ditch provide suitable foraging and commuting habitat for bats and other wildlife, the arable field comprising the majority of the site provided generally low quality foraging habitat.
- 4.26 No evidence of the presence of badger was recorded within the site or adjacent habitats.
- 4.27 The ditch to the north was considered unsuitable to support breeding great crested newt (GCN) given its shallow and likely highly ephemeral nature (HSI score of 0.49 indicating poor suitability). There are no other water bodies present on site. The only known pond within 250m lies c135m to the north. This is a newly created balancing facility associated with a roundabout to the north, and lies to the opposite side of the busy Addenbrooke's Road. This road represents a barrier to the movement of GCN onto the site, should they be present within this pond. A potential terrestrial route to the application site under a road bridge requires a commute of >275m.
- 4.28 Terrestrial habitats within the site including along the brook and adjoining grassland field margins are suitable to support GCN during this species' terrestrial phase, should GCN be present in the wider area.
- 4.29 Habitats along the length of the ditch provide suitable shelter and foraging opportunities for native reptiles, however these are limited to a narrow grassland strip that is subjected to regular disturbance and is not connected to suitable habitat in the wider landscape.
- 4.30 Vegetation bordering the ditch provided some suitable cover and foraging opportunities for water vole, however this was limited and subject to regular disturbance from dog walkers and other pedestrians.
- 4.31 No evidence or potentially suitable habitats for any other protected, rare or notable species were recorded.

## 5.0 DISCUSSION AND MITIGATION RECOMMENDATIONS

### Designated Sites Including the Nine Wells LNR

- 5.1 The degree to which designated sites receive consideration under the planning system and legislative protection depends on the designation itself and its level of importance and value. This ranges from sites of international importance protected by UK legislation that transposes European directives, to protection under UK legislation or national and local planning policy.
- 5.2 There are no internationally important statutory designated sites located within 10km of the site, and the closest SSSIs lie c.1.5km to the northeast (Cherry Hinton Pit SSSI), and c.1.4km to the east (Gog Magog Golf Course). Several arable fields lie between the application site and these SSSIs, and given their distance and relative isolation from the site neither is expected to be directly impacted by the proposed development. For indirect impacts (recreational use) see below.
- 5.3 The National Planning Policy Framework (NPPF) provides protection to non-statutory sites through local planning policies, and highlights the need to ensure protection is commensurate with their status with the hierarchy of protected sites. It also recognises the importance and contribution such sites make to wider ecological networks.
- 5.4 The three non-statutory sites that lie in close proximity to the application site: Nine Wells LNR, Hedgerow West of Babraham Road City Wildlife Site (CiWS) and Red Cross Lane Drain CiWS and connected waterways have potential to be adversely impacted by the proposed works due to pollution or movement of machinery and/or indirect damage to sites during construction. No direct habitat loss is anticipated from any non-statutory site.
- 5.5 All works will adhere to the advice provided in the now withdrawn Environment Agency document *Pollution Prevention Guidelines PPG5* (or any relevant national advice issued to supersede this regarding works near water and the prevention of pollution during construction works), to minimise the risk of adversely affecting local waterbodies and tributaries.
- 5.6 A significant area of the application site will be retained as green infrastructure (GI), and will be enhanced for biodiversity, as outlined below and illustrated in the Indicative Masterplan. This will ensure an overall net biodiversity gain is achieved at the site level. The GI will incorporate a continuous native tree and shrub buffer around the majority of the site perimeter and a network of pathways including a perimeter path through landscaped GI to provide a choice of recreation options through the site, and attractive alternatives to visiting the Nine Wells LNR. No direct public access will be created leading towards the LNR.
- 5.7 There is an existing footpath through the LNR that is used by walkers, joggers and bird watchers. Recreational use of this site is likely to increase once the site is occupied and operational, however given the non-residential nature of the development and provision of alternative amenity options and lack of a direct connecting route it is considered any increase will be of minor magnitude (non-significant) and restricted largely to office hours.

## Habitats and Flora

- 5.8 The degree to which habitats receive consideration within the planning system relies on a number of mechanisms, including:
- Inclusion within specific policy (e.g. veteran trees, ancient woodland and linear habitats in the NPPF, or non-statutory site designation),
  - Identification as a habitat of principal importance for biodiversity under the NERC Act 2006 and consequently identification as a Priority Habitat within the local Biodiversity Action Plan (LBAP) and a Priority Habitat for England under Biodiversity 2020.
- 5.9 Under NPPF development should seek to contribute a net gain in biodiversity with an emphasis on improving ecological networks and linkages where possible.
- 5.10 The majority of the site comprised intensively managed arable land of negligible/low ecological value. The boundary hedgerows, ditch and associated grassland margins provided habitat corridors around the majority of the site perimeter however, and foraging, commuting and nesting opportunities for a range of local wildlife. Hedgerows and the field margin alongside H1 were considered to be habitats of principal importance under the NERC Act 2006, and hedgerow H2 is of importance under the Hedgerow Regulations 1997.
- 5.11 Both hedgerows will be retained within the scheme with no losses. Root protection area (RPAs) for individual trees and tree groups are provided in the separate Arboricultural Assessment (FPCR, 2016) and have been taken into account within the scheme design as these identify areas requiring suitable protection both during works and as part of the scheme layout. No vehicular access will be permitted within the RPAs unless suitable soil protection layers are used, and no storage of materials, installations of services, excessive cultivation for landscape installations or fires will be permitted in these areas.
- 5.12 The proposed scheme will deliver a generous GI that will include a broad, continuous ecological corridor around the site perimeter of minimum width 15m to the north fronting onto Dame Mary Archer Way (and incorporating the existing ditch), and 28m width along the southern edge adjacent to and buffering hedgerow H2. Areas of open grassland will be included at both the eastern and western ends of the site, and the planting scheme will incorporate a continuous linear block of native tree and shrub planting along the western, southern and eastern boundaries. Tree and shrub groups will be established within grassland areas throughout the GI, and the site interior will include a number areas of more formal planting and two permanent ponds to provide further amenity interest.
- 5.13 **The** planting scheme should give preference to the use of species bearing nectar, berries, fruit and nuts, as these enhance the foraging opportunities of local wild fauna including birds and invertebrates. Suitable species for inclusion within new mixed species hedgerow planting include: field maple, hawthorn, blackthorn, hazel *Corylus avellana*, spindle *Euonymus europaeus*, beech *Fagus sylvatica*, dogwood, holly *Ilex aquifolium*, wild cherry *Prunus avium*, bird cherry *P. padus*, dog rose, honeysuckle *Lonicera periclymenum*, crab apple *Malus sylvestris*, oak *Quercus robur*, and guelder rose *Viburnum opulus*.
- 5.14 It is recommended that grassland areas are established using a suitable native grassland mix such as Emorsgate EM2 Standard Meadow Mix, EL1 Flowering Lawn Mixture, Germinal WFG2 Flowering Meadow or WFG20 Eco Species Rich Lawn or similar. Such areas should be managed

for biodiversity via annual or twice yearly mowing in early spring and/or late summer to cut and remove arisings to control scrub encroachment and encourage a tall and diverse sward that is of benefit to wildlife. Areas adjacent to sealed paths or mown grass paths can be cut as required.

- 5.15 Established hedgerows should be managed on a rotational basis, with either one side of the hedgerow cut annually or, selected hedgerow lengths cut both sides equating to no more than 1/2 of the total resource in any one year. This will ensure a continuous supply of foraging for local fauna throughout the year. Hedgerows should be cut in late November during frost free periods and outside of the bird nesting season. It is recommended that these are trimmed into an Aq profile to promote a wide base that is more beneficial to local wildlife. Where possible potential future mature hedgerow trees should be identified and left uncut to enable their successful growth into mature standards.
- 5.16 A new balancing facility is to be created towards the west of the site. It is recommended that this is designed with gently sloping banks, and if it is to be a permanent water body, with native marginal planting around the perimeter (Emorsgate EP1 Pond Edge Mixture or similar). If the balancing facility is however to hold water only following prolonged rainfall it is recommended that it is planted with a native wetland grassland mix such as Emorsgate EM8 Meadow Mixture for Wetlands or Germinal WFG9 Wetland and Pond Areas or similar. Marginal/wetland grassland areas should be cut once annually, either in early spring and/or late summer as above.
- 5.17 The design of the two permanent ponds towards the centre of the site has not yet been finalised. If possible these should also incorporate at least one gently sloping bank, to facilitate colonisation by semi-aquatic species including amphibians. It is strongly recommended that these ponds are not stocked with fish, as these will predate native species.

### Protected Species

- 5.18 Principal pieces of legislation protecting wild species are Part 1 of the Wildlife and Countryside Act 1981 (as amended) (WCA) and the Conservation of Habitats and Species Regulations 2010 (as amended). Some species, for example badgers, also have their own protective legislation (Protection of Badger Act 1992). The impact that this legislation has on the Planning system is outlined in ODPM 06/2005 Government Circular: Biodiversity and Geological Conservation . Statutory Obligations and their Impact within the Planning System.
- 5.19 This guidance states that as the presence of protected species is a material consideration in any planning decision, it is essential that the presence or otherwise of protected species, and the extent to which they are affected by proposals is established prior to planning permission being granted. Furthermore, where protected species are present and proposals may result in harm to the species or its habitat, steps should be taken to ensure the long-term protection of the species, such as through attaching appropriate planning conditions.
- 5.20 In addition to protected species, there are those that are otherwise of conservation merit, such as species of principal importance for the purpose of conserving biodiversity under the NERC Act 2006. These are recognised in the NPPF, which advises that when determining planning applications, LPA<sup>s</sup> should aim to conserve and enhance biodiversity by applying a set of principles including:
- *If significant harm resulting from a development cannot be avoided....., adequately mitigated, or, as a last resort, compensated for, then planning permission should be refused;*

- *Development proposals where the primary objective is to conserve or enhance biodiversity should be encouraged.*

5.21 The implications for the proposed development with regard to the various species identified from the desk study and field survey, or those that are otherwise thought reasonably likely to occur, are discussed below.

### **Breeding Birds**

- 5.22 Several records for red and amber listed bird species, species listed on Schedule 1 of the Wildlife and Countryside Act 1981 (as amended), and those listed as species of principal importance under the NERC Act 2006 were returned from the search area. The site provides foraging habitat for a range of species and the hedgerows and associated trees provide suitable nesting habitat for common farmland and urban edge species.
- 5.23 Recent breeding bird surveys undertaken by Mr J. Meed have identified that the site and surrounding arable habitats supports a farmland bird assemblage including a number of common to fairly common but declining species such as skylark and yellowhammer. The presence of hedgerows and tree groups provided opportunities for a number of notable woodland edge and scrub species including bullfinch, dunnock and song thrush.
- 5.24 Proposals which will result in the loss of arable habitat will inevitably result in the loss of breeding corn bunting and skylark from the site. However, given the size of the site, its location and the continued availability of further arable habitats within the wider landscape residual adverse effects are not considered to be significant for this farmland bird assemblage.
- 5.25 The inclusion of a continuous wide landscape buffer along the sites perimeter along with areas of species rich grassland and an attenuation basin will in the long term provide enhancements for a range of notable bird species recorded within the wider area including bullfinch, dunnock, reed bunting and song thrush. Given the sites location adjacent to off-site arable habitat, the mosaic of new habitats proposed within the site are also considered to offer further nesting opportunities for cuckoo, linnet and yellowhammer. The inclusion of a wide landscape buffer will compliment those existing habitats present at Nine Wells LNR and provide further opportunities to a number of the bird species typical of woodland and woodland edge previously recorded here. Furthermore, the landscape buffer will strengthen habitat connectivity between offsite habitats including those present within Nine Wells LNR and the CiWS east of the site providing further enhancements to local bird populations.
- 5.26 All nesting birds, their nests and fledgling young are protected under the Wildlife and Countryside Act, 1981 (as amended). Construction operations could disturb bird species of nature conservation interest using the site for nesting and foraging, and disturbance during the breeding season may lead to nest desertion or the avoidance of the area. Increased activity adjacent to nesting areas may result in disturbance to the species. To avoid disturbance to breeding birds, any woody vegetation will be removed prior to the bird-breeding season (i.e. avoiding March to September inclusive). If this is not possible, the site will be checked beforehand by an experienced ecologist. If active nests are found, areas will be left untouched and suitably buffered from works until all birds have fledged. Specific advice will be provided prior to undertaking the clearance.

## Bats

- 5.27 All species of bats and their roosts are listed on the Conservation of Habitats and Species Regulations 2010 (as amended) making it illegal to deliberately disturb any such animal or damage / destroy a breeding site or roosting place of any such animal. Bats are also afforded full legal protection under Schedule 5 of the Wildlife and Countryside Act 1981. Under this legislation it is illegal to recklessly or intentionally kill, injure or take a species of bat or recklessly or intentionally damage or obstruct access to or destroy any place of shelter or protection or disturb any animal whilst they are occupying such a place of shelter or protection. Some bat species, including soprano pipistrelle, are species of principal importance under the NERC Act. Barbastelle, brown long-eared bat, noctule *Nyctalus noctula* and soprano pipistrelle are listed as local Biodiversity Action Plan priority species in Cambridgeshire.
- 5.28 The site provides generally suitable foraging habitat for bats, particularly along the hedgerows and connecting ditch, and it is recommended that these features are retained within the scheme design, and enhanced to improve connectivity around the site perimeter and linking to off-site habitats as outlined above.
- 5.29 It is further recommended that at least 10 bat boxes be provided on suitable retained trees to provide enhanced roosting opportunities for local bat populations. Suitable designs include timber designs such as those available from nhbs.com, and the following woodcrete models: Schwegler 2F, 1FF, 2FN, 1FD, 1FW, AND 1FS. The provision of such enhancement features would be in accordance with National and Local Planning Policy.
- 5.30 The lighting scheme should be carefully designed adjacent to potential bat foraging areas including the ditch, hedgerows and associated trees, as well as any bat boxes provided. Where artificial lighting cannot be avoided the lighting scheme should be designed with reference to the Bat Conservation Trust and Institute of Lighting Professionals guidance<sup>11,12,13</sup> and designed to reduce spill and be downwardly directional. All new lighting should meet the current environmental standards of good practice in order to reduce potential light pollution and use the lowest intensity possible for its purpose. This will minimise light spill onto foraging routes and minimise potential disturbance caused through the lighting of corridors and potential roost sites. Adherence to the above guidance will ensure that the overall impact to bats caused by lighting the site will be negligible.
- 5.31 Given the proposed retention and buffering of all features of notable value to local bat populations (perimeter hedgerows, trees and the northern ditch), and the implementation of a sensitive lighting scheme, impacts will be limited to habitats of negligible value to bats (arable land). No further survey is therefore required, in line with the BCT survey guidelines<sup>4</sup>.

## Badger

- 5.32 No evidence of the presence of badger was recorded within the site or adjacent habitats and this species is not considered to be a potential ecological constraint to the proposed development.

<sup>11</sup> Bat Conservation Trust. 2009. *Bats and Lighting in the UK*. Bats and the Built Environment Series.

<sup>12</sup> Bat Conservation Trust. 2011. *Statement on the Impact and Design of Artificial Light on Bats*.

<sup>13</sup> Institute of Lighting Professionals. 2011. *Guidance notes for the reduction of Obtrusive Light*.

**Great Crested Newt**

- 5.33 GCN are afforded legal protection by Schedule 5 of the Wildlife and Countryside Act 1981 (as amended) under which it is an offence to intentionally kill, injure or take a GCN (or attempt to), possess or control any live or dead specimen or anything derived from this species, intentionally or recklessly damage, destroy or obstruct access to any structure or place used for shelter by a GCN, intentionally or recklessly disturb a GCN while it is occupying a structure or place which it uses for that purpose. GCN is also a European Protected Species, and under the Conservation of Habitats and Species Regulations 2010 it is an offence to deliberately capture or kill a GCN, deliberately disturb a GCN, deliberately take or destroy the eggs of a GCN, damage or destroy a breeding site or resting place of a GCN. This legislation applies to all life stages. GCN are also listed as a species of principal importance under the NERC Act.
- 5.34 A single GCN record was returned from within a 1km radius of the site, located c.950m to the northeast within an area of residential development, and to the opposite side of Addenbrookes Hospital. The single known pond within 250m lies c.275m from the site via the only possible terrestrial route, and the on-site ditch is highly ephemeral in nature and considered to have poor suitability for breeding GCN. Given the lack of suitable water bodies in the vicinity of the site GCN are not considered likely to be present within the site and are therefore do not pose a constraint for the development of the site.

**Reptiles**

- 5.35 All UK species of reptile are protected from killing and injury under the Wildlife and Countryside Act 1981 (as amended) and all are listed as species of principal importance under the NERC Act. The majority of the site does not provide suitable habitat for reptiles and there are no records of reptiles from within the 1km search area.
- 5.36 The arable land that forms the majority of the site lacks the structural diversity associated with suitability to support populations of reptiles, and hedge base habitats provided very limited basking opportunities, being shaded and overgrown. Habitats along the length of the ditch provided suitable shelter and foraging opportunities for native reptiles, however these were limited to a narrow grassland strip subjected to regular disturbance and is poorly connected to suitable habitat in the wider landscape.
- 5.37 The site was therefore considered to be of limited value to reptiles, and unlikely to support a viable reptile population. Retention of the ditch and a 5m wide buffer strip will retain connectivity for reptiles post-development should they be present in the local area. No specific mitigation is necessary for reptiles, which have a low likelihood of being present within the site.

**Water Vole**

- 5.38 Water vole is listed on Schedule 5 of the Wildlife and Countryside Act 1981, therefore is protected from deliberate or reckless killing, injury or taking, damage or destruction of its places of shelter, and disturbance whilst occupying those places of shelter. Water vole is also a species of principal importance under the NERC Act 2006 and a Local BAP species in Cambridgeshire.
- 5.39 Five water vole records were provided from the local area, all from Hobson's Brook to the west/southwest. The damp ditch along the northern site boundary is has some low potential to support water vole and connects with a tributary of Hobson's Brook. However given the existing disturbance levels, absence of field sign recorded and distance to a permanent watercourse, this

species is not considered to be present within the site and therefore is not a potential ecological constraint to the proposed development.

- 5.40 The ditch nevertheless is of ecological value and provides habitat diversity at a local level. It is therefore recommended that it is retained unmodified, together with a retained c.5m wide buffer strip of adjacent grassland. There must no intrusion of machinery, people or storage of materials within this buffer during construction.

**APPENDIX A – CONSULTATION DATA RECEIVED (Summary)**

Common Name	Scientific Name	Location	Grid Ref	Date	Selected Designations	Approx Dist (km)
Common frog	<i>Rana temporaria</i>	Cambridge	TL468556	2010	HSD5	0.95
Great crested newt	<i>Triturus cristatus</i>	Cambridge	TL468556	2010	HabRegs2, HSD2p, HSD4, LBAP, S.41 NERC, WCA5	0.95
Common lizard	<i>Zootoca vivipara</i>	Triangle North of Long Road CWS	TL457559	09/07/1998	S.41 NERC, WCA5	1.2
Grass snake	<i>Natrix natrix</i>	Trumpington	TL449547	2007	S.41 NERC, WCA5	1.1
Barnacle goose	<i>Branta leucopsis</i>	Clay Farm, Trumpington	TL4554	09/09/2013	BD1	0.9
Barn owl	<i>Tyto alba</i>	Cambridge	TL4655	09/11/2005	WCA1i	0.5
Black redstart	<i>Phoenicurus ochruros</i>	Addenbrookes Hospital	TL4655	01/03/2012	WCA1i	0.5
Black tern	<i>Chlidonias niger</i>	Clay Farm, Trumpington	TL4554	25/08/2013	BD1, WCA1i	0.9
Brambling	<i>Fringilla montifringilla</i>	Addenbrookes Hospital	TL4655	30/12/2007	WCA1i	0.5
Bullfinch	<i>Pyrrhula pyrrhula</i>	Nine Wells LNR	TL461541	29/11/2005	S.41 NERC	0.2
Corn bunting	<i>Emberiza calandra</i>	Cambridge	TL461544	30/04/2002	S.41 NERC	0
Dunnock	<i>Prunella modularis</i>	Great Shelford	TL463544	2012	S.41 NERC	0
Fieldfare	<i>Turdus pilaris</i>	Netherhall Farm Meadow CWS	TL473550	17/02/2007	WCA1i	0.73
Golden plover	<i>Pluvialis apricaria</i>	Trumpington	TL458547	19/11/2006	BD1	0.36
Green sandpiper	<i>Tringa ochropus</i>	Clay Farm, Trumpington	TL4554	02/05/2012	WCA1i	0.9
Greenshank	<i>Tringa nebularia</i>	Clay Farm, Trumpington	TL4554	03/09/2012	WCA1i	0.9
Grey partridge	<i>Perdix perdix</i>	Great Shelford	TL464540	2012	LBAP, S.41 NERC	0.35
Greylag goose	<i>Anser anser</i>	Clay Farm, Trumpington	TL4554	01/09/2013	WCA1ii	0.9
Hobby	<i>Falco subbuteo</i>	Nine Wells LNR	TL461541	13/07/2000	WCA1i	0.2
House sparrow	<i>Passer domesticus</i>	Addenbrookes Hospital	TL4655	15/06/2004	S.41 NERC	0.5
Kingfisher	<i>Alcedo atthis</i>	Nine Wells LNR	TL461541	05/07/2005	BD1, WCA1i	0.2
Lapwing	<i>Vanellus vanellus</i>	Cambridge	TL463548	19/11/2006	S.41 NERC	0.2
Lesser redpoll	<i>Acanthis cabaret</i>	Cambridge	TL457555	26/02/2001	S.41 NERC	1.1

Common Name	Scientific Name	Location	Grid Ref	Date	Selected Designations	Approx Dist (km)
Linnet	<i>Linaria cannabina</i>	Great Shelford	TL460543	2012	S.41 NERC	0.1
Little egret	<i>Egretta garzetta</i>	Clay Farm, Trumpington	TL4554	21/12/2013	BD1	0.9
Little gull	<i>Hydrocoloeus minutus</i>	Clay Farm, Trumpington	TL4554	16/06/2013	BD1, WCA1i	0.9
Little ringed plover	<i>Charadrius dubius</i>	Clay Farm, Trumpington	TL4554	16/04/2013	WCA1i	0.9
Marsh harrier	<i>Circus aeruginosus</i>	Cambridge	TL472547	12/05/2005	BD1, WCA1i	0.5
Merlin	<i>Falco columbarius</i>	Great Shelford	TL4654	13/05/2006	BD1, WCA1i	0.2
Osprey	<i>Pandion haliaetus</i>	Addenbrookes Hospital	TL4655	20/03/2012	BD1, WCA1i	0.5
Peregrine	<i>Falco peregrinus</i>	Great Shelford	TL4654	27/11/2013	BD1, WCA1i	0.2
Quail	<i>Coturnix coturnix</i>	White Hill, Great Shelford	TL467544	06/06/2003	WCA1i	0.17
Red Kite	<i>Milvus milvus</i>	White Hill, Great Shelford	TL4654	08/04/2007	BD1, WCA1i	0.2
Redwing	<i>Turdus iliacus</i>	Nine Wells LNR	TL461541	21/01/2007	WCA1i	0.2
Reed bunting	<i>Emberiza schoeniclus</i>	Great Shelford	TL465545	2012	S.41 NERC	0
Skylark	<i>Alauda arvensis</i>	Great Shelford	TL465543	2012	LBAP, S.41 NERC	0.17
Song thrush	<i>Turdus philomelos</i>	Nine Wells LNR	TL461541	21/01/2007	LBAP, S.41 NERC	0.2
Spotted flycatcher	<i>Muscicapa striata</i>	Cambridge	TL468550	16/05/2000	S.41 NERC	0.35
Starling	<i>Sturnus vulgaris</i>	Great Shelford	TL4654	2012	S.41 NERC	0.2
Yellow wagtail	<i>Motacilla flava</i>	Nine Wells LNR	TL461541	21/04/2004	S.41 NERC	0.2
Yellowhammer	<i>Emberiza citrinella</i>	Great Shelford	TL463546	2012	S.41 NERC	0
Barbastelle bat	<i>Barbastella barbastellus</i>	Netherhall Farm, Cambridge	TL474655 14	2010	HabRegs2, HSD4, LBAP, S.41 NERC, WCA5	0.95
Bats	<i>Chiroptera</i>	Cambridge	TL468556	2010	LBAP, S.41 NERC, WCA5	0.95
Brown hare	<i>Lepus europaeus</i>	Great Shelford	TL477254 10	23/03/2011	S.41 NERC	1.1
Brown long-eared bat	<i>Plecotus auritus</i>	Netherhall Farm, Cambridge	TL474655 14	2010	HabRegs2, HSD4, WCA5	0.95
Common Pipistrelle	<i>Pipistrellus pipistrellus</i>	Trumpington Dismantled Railway ex-CiWS	TL453549	Sep-03	HabRegs2, HSD4, WCA5	0.86
Daubenton's	<i>Myotis</i>	*Contact Bat Group*	TL4653	04/02/2007	HabRegs2, HSD4, WCA5	1

Common Name	Scientific Name	Location	Grid Ref	Date	Selected Designations	Approx Dist (km)
bat	<i>daubentoni</i>					
Badger	<i>Meles meles</i>	Great Shelford	TL45	04/11/2008	HabRegs2, HSD4, WCA5	1 record within 1km
Otter	<i>Lutra lutra</i>	Hobson's Brook, Great Shelford	TL458542	30/03/2009	HabRegs2, HSD4, WCA5	0.3
Water vole	<i>Arvicola amphibius</i>	Hobson's Brook, Great Shelford	TL459541	03/09/2015	HabRegs2, HSD4, WCA5	0.3
Natterer's bat	<i>Myotis nattereri</i>	*Contact Bat Group*	TL4653	04/02/2007	HabRegs2, LBAP, WCA5	1
Soprano pipistrelle	<i>Pipistrellus pygmaeus</i>	Trumpington Dismantled Railway ex-CiWS	TL453549	Sep-03	HabRegs2, LBAP, WCA5	0.86
Hedgehog	<i>Erinaceus europaeus</i>	Nightingale Avenue Recreation Ground, Cambridge	TL469455 34	22/05/2012	HabRegs2, LBAP, WCA5	0.74
Cinnabar	<i>Tyria jacobaeae</i>	Trumpington Dismantled Railway ex-CiWS	TL4554	04/09/1998	S.41 NERC	0.9
Dot moth	<i>Melanchra persicariae</i>	Cambridge	TL4755	1996 - 1998	S.41 NERC	0.8
Feathered gothic	<i>Tholera decimalis</i>	Cambridge	TL4755	1996 - 1998	S.41 NERC	0.8
Goat moth	<i>Cossus cossus</i>	Cambridge	TL4755	17/07/2004	S.41 NERC	0.8
Knot grass	<i>Acronicta rumicis</i>	Cambridge	TL4755	1998	S.41 NERC	0.8
Basil thyme	<i>Clinopodium acinos</i>	Trumpington Dismantled Railway	TL457557	17/08/1997	NS, S.41 NERC	1.3
Dittander	<i>Lepidium latifolium</i>	Cambridge	TL457557	28/06/1996	S.41 NERC	1.3
Perennial flax	<i>Linum perenne</i>	Trumpington Dismantled Railway ex-CiWS	TL4555	04/07/1998	NS	1.15

**APPENDIX B – PHASE 1 HABITAT SURVEY SPECIES LISTS**


Species lists are not exhaustive of all flora present in each habitat type.

<b>Common Name</b>	<b>Scientific Name</b>
<b>Hedgerows</b>	
Field Maple	<i>Acer campestre</i>
Sycamore	<i>Acer pseudoplatanus</i>
Dogwood	<i>Cornus sanguinea</i>
Hawthorn	<i>Crataegus monogyna</i>
Ash	<i>Fraxinus excelsior</i>
Privet, wild	<i>Ligustrum vulgare</i>
Blackthorn	<i>Prunus spinosa</i>
Dog Rose	<i>Rosa canina</i>
Bramble	<i>Rubus fruticosus</i> agg.
Elder	<i>Sambucus nigra</i>
<b>Field Margins by Hedgerows</b>	
Meadow foxtail	<i>Alopecurus pratensis</i>
Cow parsley	<i>Anthriscus sylvestris</i>
Daisy	<i>Bellis perennis</i>
False Brome	<i>Brachypodium sylvaticum</i>
Common Mouse-ear	<i>Cerastium fontanum</i>
Creeping Thistle	<i>Cirsium arvense</i>
Cocks Foot	<i>Dactyls glomerata</i>
Cleavers	<i>Galium aperine</i>
Wood Avens	<i>Geum urbanum</i>
Common Ivy	<i>Hedera helix</i>
Hogweed	<i>Heracleum sphondylium</i>
Red Dead-nettle	<i>Lamium purpureum</i>
Common Poppy	<i>Papaver rhoeas</i>
Ribwort Plantain	<i>Plantago lanceolata</i>
Greater Plantain	<i>Plantago major</i>
Smooth Meadow-grass	<i>Poa pratensis</i>
Meadow Buttercup	<i>Ranunculus acris</i>
Bramble	<i>Rubus fruticosus</i> agg.
Curled dock	<i>Rumex crispus</i>
<b>Field Margins by Ditch</b>	
Yarrow	<i>Achillea millefolium</i>
Meadow foxtail	<i>Alopecurus pratensis</i>
Cow parsley	<i>Anthriscus sylvestris</i>
Daisy	<i>Bellis perennis</i>
False Brome	<i>Brachypodium sylvaticum</i>
Common Knapweed	<i>Centaurea nigra</i>
Creeping Thistle	<i>Cirsium arvense</i>
Crosswort	<i>Cruciata laevipes</i>
Cocks Foot	<i>Dactyls glomerata</i>
Field Horsetail	<i>Equisetum arvense</i>
Spurge	<i>Euphorbia</i> sp.
Cleavers	<i>Galium aperine</i>
Dove's-foot Crane's-bill	<i>Geranium molle</i>
Hogweed	<i>Heracleum sphondylium</i>

Hawkweed	<i>Hieracium</i> agg.
Yorkshire fog	<i>Holcus lanatus</i>
Common Mallow	<i>Malva sylvestris</i>
Scented Mayweed	<i>Matricaria recutita</i>
Bristly oxtongue	<i>Picris echioides</i>
Burnet-saxifrage	<i>Pimpinella saxifraga</i>
Ribwort Plantain	<i>Plantago lanceolata</i>
Greater Plantain	<i>Plantago major</i>
Annual Meadow-grass	<i>Poa annua</i>
Smooth Meadow-grass	<i>Poa pratensis</i>
Creeping Cinquefoil	<i>Potentilla reptans</i>
Meadow Buttercup	<i>Ranunculus acris</i>
Creeping Buttercup	<i>Ranunculus repens</i>
Bramble	<i>Rubus fruticosus</i> agg.
Common sorrel	<i>Rumex acetosa</i>
Curled dock	<i>Rumex crispus</i>
Broad-leaved Dock	<i>Rumex obtusifolius</i>
Smooth Sow-thistle	<i>Sonchus oleraceus</i>
Dandelion	<i>Taraxacum officinale</i> agg.
Goats-beard	<i>Tragopogon pratensis</i> agg.
Red Clover	<i>Trifolium pratense</i>
White Clover	<i>Trifolium repens</i>
Colt's-foot	<i>Tussilago farfara</i>

This drawing is the property of FPCR Environment and Design Ltd and is issued on the condition it is not reproduced, retained or disclosed to any unauthorised person, either wholly or in part without written consent of FPCR Environment and Design Ltd.

Ordnance Survey material - Crown Copyright. All rights reserved.  
Licence Number: 100019980



### Key

- |  |                         |
|--|-------------------------|
| Site Boundary                              | Golden Plover           |
| 1km_buffer                                 | Grass Snake             |
| 2km_buffer                                 | Great Crested Newt      |
| City Wildlife Site                         | Green Sandpiper         |
| County Wildlife Sites                      | Green-brindled Crescent |
| Local Nature Reserves (LNR)                | Greenshank              |
| Site of Special Scientific Interest (SSSI) | Grey Partridge          |
| Protected Road Verge                       | Greylag Goose           |
| Barbastelle                                | Hobby                   |
| Barn Owl                                   | House Sparrow           |
| Barnacle Goose                             | Kingfisher              |
| Basil Thyme                                | Knot Grass              |
| Bats                                       | Lapwing                 |
| Black Redstart                             | Lesser Redpoll          |
| Black Tern                                 | Linnet                  |
| Blood-Vein                                 | Little Egret            |
| Brambling                                  | Little Gull             |
| Brown Hare                                 | Little Ringed Plover    |
| Brown Long-eared Bat                       | Marsh Harrier           |
| Bullfinch                                  | Merlin                  |
| Cinnabar                                   | Natterer's Bat          |
| Common Frog                                | Osprey                  |
| Common Lizard                              | Peregrine               |
| Common Pipistrelle                         | Perennial Flax          |
| Corn Bunting                               | Quail                   |
| Dark-barred Twin-spot Carpet               | Red Kite                |
| Daubenton's Bat                            | Redwing                 |
| Dittander                                  | Reed Bunting            |
| Dunnock                                    | Skylark                 |
| Eurasian Badger                            | Song Thrush             |
| European Otter                             | Soprano Pipistrelle     |
| European Water Vole                        | Spotted Flycatcher      |
| Feathered Gothic                           | Starling                |
| Fieldfare                                  | West European Hedgehog  |
| Goat Moth                                  | Yellow Wagtail          |
|  | Yellowhammer            |

client  
Cambridge County Council

project  
Cambridge Biomedical Centre,  
Great Shelford

drawing title  
SITE LOCATION AND  
CONSULTATION RESULTS PLAN

scale  
1:640,169

drawn  
HET

issue  
14/7/2016

drawing / figure number  
**Figure 1**

rev  
**7307-E-01**



This drawing is the property of FPCR Environment and Design Ltd and is issued on the condition it is not reproduced, retained or disclosed to any unauthorised person, either wholly or in part without written consent of FPCR Environment and Design Ltd.

Ordnance Survey material - Crown Copyright. All rights reserved.  
Licence Number: 100019980

### Key

- Site Boundary
- Built Environment: Buildings/hardstanding
- Broadleaved woodland - semi-natural
- Neutral grassland - semi-improved
- Cultivated/disturbed land - arable
- | | | | Intact hedge - native species-rich
- | | | | Hedge with trees - native species-rich
- - - - Dry ditch

client  
Cambridge County Council

project  
Cambridge Biomedical Centre,  
Great Shelford

drawing title  
**PHASE 1 HABITAT PLAN**



scale  
1:640,169

drawn  
HET

issue  
14/7/2016



drawing / figure number  
**Figure 2**

rev  
**7307-E-02**